

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/633,954 08/04/2003 | | Steven G. Thummel | 3239.5US (96-1145.05/US) | 5173 |
| 24247 759 | 08/24/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110 | | | ORTIZ, ANGELA Y | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1732 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | (A | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/633,954 | THUMMEL, STEVEN G. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAU INC DATE of this communication and | Angela Ortiz | 1732 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | lears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Au | <u>igust 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/03. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | (PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/633,954

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-16 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,616,880, and claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,287,503. Note that the instant application and USP's 6,616,880 and 6,287,503 are not patentably distinct from each other because all set forth the method for encasing package arrays wherein a first and second substrate are provided within upper and lower mating mold plates, the substrates and mold plates are mated and plastic resin is injection molded to encase the assembly. This is a double patenting rejection.

It is unclear to the Examiner what protection applicant is seeking for what applicant regards as his invention. It is noted that multiple identical applications are repeatedly filed to the same invention.

Applicant is reminded that the Office [is making a] double patenting rejection because the patentee is entitled only to a single patent for an invention. As expressed in StudiengesellschaftKohle, 784 F.2d at 361, 228 USPQ at 844, (J. Newman, concurring),

Application/Control Number: 10/633,954

Art Unit: 1732

"35 U.S.C. 121 of course does not provide that multiple patents may be granted on the identical invention." See MPEP 804.01, last paragraph.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USP 6,117,382.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,954

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732